

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BRYAN MICHAEL FERGUSON, A.K.A.,
BRYAN MICHAEL FERGASON
Petitioner,

vs.

DWIGHT NEVEN, *et al.*,
Respondents.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00179-GMN-VCF

ORDER

This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, by a Nevada state prisoner.

Petitioner has filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF No. 1). Based on the information regarding petitioner's financial status, the Court finds that the motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* should be granted. The Court has reviewed the habeas petition. The petition shall now be filed and served on respondents.

Petitioner has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 2). Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3006(a)(2)(B), the district court has discretion to appoint counsel when it determines that the “interests of justice” require representation. There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. *See Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970). The petition on file in this action is well-written and sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that petitioner wishes to bring. The issues in this case are not complex. Counsel is not justified in this instance. Petitioner’s motion for the appointment of counsel is denied.

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF
2 No. 1) is **GRANTED**.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF
4 No. 2) is **DENIED**.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk shall **FILE and ELECTRONICALLY**
6 **SERVE** the petition (ECF No. 1-1) upon the respondents.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have **forty-five (45)** days from entry
8 of this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer or other
9 response, respondents shall address all claims presented in the petition. Respondents shall raise all
10 potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and
11 procedural default. **Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained.** If an answer is filed,
12 respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in
13 the United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have
14 **forty-five (45) days** from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents shall
16 be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The hard copy
17 of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the staff attorneys in the **Reno**
18 Division of the Clerk of Court.

19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the Attorney
20 General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document he submits for
21 consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the original paper submitted for filing a
22 certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney
23 General. The Court may disregard any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After
24 respondents appear in this action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy
25 Attorney General assigned to the case.

26 **DATED** this 25th day of July, 2014.

27
28


Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
United States District Court